

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/038,644	Applicant(s) DOLLOFF, JOHN THOMAS	
	Examiner Gregory M. Desire	Art Unit 2624	

**All Participants:** **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

- (1) Gregory M. Desire. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) Applicant's representative Kyle Pendergrass. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 24 August 2007 **Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Gregory M. Desire  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicants representative regarding claim 23. Examiner advised that claim 23 was still rejected under 35 USC 101. Applicant representative agreed to email an amendment to resolve this issue. Examiner would enter the amendment to claim 23 through examiner amendment.